

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 NAZARIO CRUZ-SANCHEZ,) Case No. SACV 17-1310-FMO (JPR)
12)
13) Petitioner,)
14) v.) ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
15) RECOMMENDATIONS OF U.S.
16) MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17)
18) MONA D. HOUSTON, Warden,)
19)
20) Respondent.)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

17 The Court has reviewed the First Amended Petition, records
18 on file, and Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge.
19 See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On February 3, 2020, Petitioner filed
20 objections to the R. & R., in which he mostly simply repeats
21 arguments from his FAP and Reply. He also contends that the
22 Magistrate Judge "overlooked" Spano v. New York, 360 U.S. 315,
23 322 (1959), on which he relies. (Objs. at 2.) To the contrary,
24 she distinguished that case on its facts and recognized that the
25 state court of appeal had done so as well. (See R. & R. at 24
26 n.7.) The Magistrate Judge did not err in concluding that the
27 circumstances of Petitioner's interrogations did not render his
28 limited confession involuntary. (See id. at 23-31.)

Having reviewed de novo all portions of the R. & R. to which Petitioner objected, the Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the First Amended Petition is denied and Judgment be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.

DATED: February 26, 2020

/s/
FERNANDO M. OLGUIN
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE